

**AMSTER
ROTHSTEIN
& EBENSTEIN LLP**

Intellectual Property Law

90 Park Avenue
New York NY 10016
Main 212 336 8000
Fax 212 336 8001
Web www.arelaw.com

Partners
Morton Amster
Jesse Rothstein (1934 - 2003)
Daniel Ebenstein
Philip H. Gottfried
Michael J. Berger
Neil M. Zipkin
Anthony F. Lo Cicero
Kenneth P. George
Abraham Kasdan, Ph.D.
Ira E. Silfin
Chester Rothstein
Craig J. Arnold
Kenneth M. Bernstein

Senior Counsel
Charles R. Macedo
Mark J. Rosenberg
Nancy M. Dodderidge

Of Counsel
Jerry D. Dainow

Associates
Neal L. Rosenberg
Patrick Boland*
Joseph M. Casino
John S. Economou
Michael V. Solomita

Holly Pekowsky
Michael P. Kenney
Marion P. Metelski
Max Vern
Dana R. Metes
Karl J. Kolbinger
Brian A. Comack
Richard S. Mandaro
Marc J. Jason
Elie H. Gendloff, Ph.D.*
David Mitnick
Charles W. Rath
David A. Boag
Karen J. Bernstein

Matthieu Hausig
Jung S. Hahm
Reiko Kaji
Alan D. Miller, Ph.D.
Hsin-Hsin (Ginger) Liu
John A. Lepore
Norajean McCaffrey
Benjamin M. Halpern*
Matthew A. Fox


*Not admitted in New York

November 11, 2004

Via Express Mail

Jerry D. Dainow
Direct 212 336 8056
E-mail jdainow@arelaw.com

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

"Express Mail" mailing label No.	EL 996376206 US
Date of Deposit:	November 11, 2004
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA-22313-1450.	
Name:	Stephen McConaughy
Signature:	

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/248,436
APPARATUS FOR DISABLING A TELEPHONE RINGER
Our File: 47187/11

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due dated October 4, 2004, we enclose herewith the following:

1. A completed and executed Form PTOL 85 - 1 page,
2. Letter to the Patent Draftsperson, Formal Drawings (2 Sheets) and copy of Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Form PTO 948 - 4 pages,
3. Check for \$715 to cover the cost of the Issue Fee (\$685) and 10 copies of the patent certificate (\$30), and
4. Postcard receipt.

Please acknowledge receipt of the enclosed documents by stamping the enclosed postcard and returning same to us.

November 11, 2004

If an extension of time is required please consider this document as a petition for such extension. If any additional fees are due for said extension or for any of the documents filed herewith, or if any excess fees have been paid, please charge or credit our Deposit Account No. 01-1785. A duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
Attorneys for Applicant
90 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016
(212) 336-8000

Dated: New York, New York
November 11, 2004

By: 


J. David Dainow
Registration No. 22,959

JDD/smc
Enclosures

AMSTER
ROTHSTEIN
& EBENSTEIN LLP

Intellectual Property Law

90 Park Avenue
New York NY 10016
Main 212 336 8000
Fax 212 336 8001
Web www.arelaw.com



Partners
Morton Amster
Jesse Rothstein (1934 - 2003)
Daniel Ebenstein
Philip H. Gottfried
Michael J. Berger
Neil M. Zipkin
Anthony F. Lo Cicero
Kenneth P. George
Abraham Kasdan, Ph.D.
Ira E. Silfin
Chester Rothstein
Craig J. Arnold
Kenneth M. Bernstein

Senior Counsel
Charles R. Macedo
Mark J. Rosenberg
Nancy M. Dodderidge

Of Counsel
Jerry D. Dainow

Associates
Neal L. Rosenberg
Patrick Boland*
Joseph M. Casino
John S. Economou
Michael V. Solomita

Holly Pekowsky
Michael P. Kenney
Marion P. Metelski
Max Vern
Dana R. Metes
Karl J. Kolbinger
Brian A. Comack
Richard S. Mandaro
Marc J. Jason
Elie H. Gendloff, Ph.D.*
David Mitnick
Charles W. Rath
David A. Boag
Karen J. Bernstein

Matthieu Hausig
Jung S. Hahn
Reiko Kaji
Alan D. Miller, Ph.D.
Hsin-Hsin (Ginger) Liu
John A. Lepore
Norajean McCaffrey
Benjamin M. Halpern*
Matthew A. Fox

*Not admitted in New York

November 11, 2004

Jerry D. Dainow
Direct 212 336 8056
E-mail jdainow@arelaw.com

Via Express Mail

Attn: Official Draftsperson
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

"Express Mail" mailing label No.	EL 996376206 US
Date of Deposit:	November 11, 2004
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
Name:	Stephen M. Hausig
Signature:	

Re: U.S. Patent Application No. 09/248,436
APPARATUS FOR DISABLING A TELEPHONE RINGER
Inventor: Hayley Korn
Our File: 47187/11

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowability dated October 4, 2004 in the above-referenced application, we enclose herewith formal drawings (Sheets 1 and 2) as replacements for the originally filed drawings, along with a copy of Form PTO-948 stating the objections to the original drawings. It is believed that these replacement drawings overcome all objections raised and should be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
Attorneys for Applicant
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 336-8000


By:

J. David Dainow
Registration No. 22,959

Dated: New York, New York
November 11, 2004

JDD/smc/encls.

293407.1

NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S
PATENT DRAWING REVIEWThe drawing(s) filed (insert date) 2/11/99 are:A. ☐ Approved by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.B. ☒ Objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 for the reasons indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new, corrected drawings when necessary. Corrected drawing must be submitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice.1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings:
Black ink. Color.☐ Color drawings are not acceptable until petition is granted.

Fig(s) _____

☐ Pencil and non black ink not permitted. Fig(s) _____

2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84 (b)

☐ 1 full-tone set is required. Fig(s) _____☐ Photographs not properly mounted (must use bristol board or photographic double-weight paper). Fig(s) _____☐ Poor quality (half-tone). Fig(s) _____

3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)

☐ Paper not flexible, strong, white, and durable.

Fig(s) _____

☐ Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations, folds, copy machine marks not accepted. Fig(s) _____☐ Mylar, velum paper is not acceptable (too thin).

Fig(s) _____

4. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable sizes:

☐ 21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4)☐ 21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches)☐ All drawing sheets not the same size.

Sheet(s) _____

☐ Drawings sheets not an acceptable size. Fig(s) _____

5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:

Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm

SIZE: A4 Size

Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm

SIZE: 8 1/2 x 11

Margins not acceptable. Fig(s) 1, 3☒ Top (T)☒ Left (L)☒ Right (R)☒ Bottom (B)

6. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)

REMINDER: Specification may require revision to correspond to drawing changes.

Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)

☐ Brackets needed to show figure as one entity.

Fig(s) _____

☐ Views not labeled separately or properly.

Fig(s) _____

☐ Enlarged view not labeled separately or properly.

Fig(s) _____

7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84 (h)(3)

☐ Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object.

Fig(s) _____

☐ Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or Roman numbers. Fig(s) _____

8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)

☐ Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion when page is either upright or turned so that the top becomes the right side, except for graphs. Fig(s) _____

9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k)

☐ Scale not large enough to show mechanism without crowding when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in reproduction.

Fig(s) _____

10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS.

37 CFR 1.84(i)

☒ Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, double, and black (poor line quality).Fig(s) 1-3

11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)

☐ Solid black areas pale. Fig(s) _____☐ Solid black shading not permitted. Fig(s) _____☐ Shade lines, pale, rough and blurred. Fig(s) _____

12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS.

37 CFR 1.84(p)

☐ Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible.

Fig(s) _____

☐ Figure legends are poor. Fig(s) _____☐ Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the same direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(1)

Fig(s) _____

☐ English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)

Figs _____

☐ Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least .32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3)

Fig(s) _____

13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)

☐ Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s) _____☐ Lead lines missing. Fig(s) _____

14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(t)

☐ Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals beginning with number 1. Sheet(s) _____

15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)

☐ Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals, beginning with number 1. Fig(s) _____

16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)

☐ Corrections not made from prior PTO-948 dated _____

17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152

☐ Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s) _____☐ Solid black shading not used for color contrast.

Fig(s) _____

COMMENTS